



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/898,676	07/03/2001		Michael J. Perani	07844-506001	1749		
21876	7590	05/17/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
FISH & RIC			WALLACE, SCOTT A				
		AUSCHER PLAZA IS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,			2671	7		
				DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004	, /		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A (! 4!	- 11	(A				
•		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/898,67	' 6	PERANI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Scott Wal	lace	2671				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no events on. a reply within the state period will apply and wi statute, cause the apple	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	29 December 2	003.					
· <u> </u>	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.							
3)□	•							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)□ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1,4-12,14 and 17-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 5-8,10-12,14 and 17-22 is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) the drawing(s) borrection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have bee ments have bee priority docume ureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application ents have been received e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/898,676 Art Unit: 2671

Status of claims: 1, 4-12, 14 and 17-22 pending.

2-3, 13 and 15-16 cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

> The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The closest part in the specification is on page 2 lines 25-26, page 3 lines 9-11, page 4 lines 14-20 and page 8 lines 13-15 and fig 3. Nowhere does it mention the interior control point not being a lattice point and in fig 3, points 301 and 302 seem to be on the lattice.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by MAYA Complete 2. 4.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,676
Art Unit: 2671

As per claim 4, MAYA discloses a computer product for performing computer graphics operations on an image represented by digital data, the product tangibly embodied in a computer-readable medium or propagated signal, the product comprising instructions operable to cause a programmable processor (page xxii-xxiii) to: receive a representation of a digital image (pg 382, 3rd paragraph), the image comprising drawing objects organized in a hierarchical relationship (page 397, fig); receive a user input defining an envelope having an outline (page 382, 3rd and 4th paragraph), the envelope containing a first original drawing object in the image (page 382, 3rd paragraph), the envelope being a manipulable graphic object defining a coordinate remapping (page 382, 3rd paragraph), the coordinate remapping being applied to generate a resulting drawing object for any original drawing object contained in the envelope (page 382, 3rd paragraph), the first original and its resulting drawing object each being a vector object (page 382, 3rd paragraph); receive from a user a precision input signifying how closely an object contained in the envelope will follow the envelope when the corresponding resulting object is generated and, in response, introduce additional control points to the original contained object if necessary to achieve the precision before applying the coordinate remapping (page 382).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 5-8, 10-12, 14, 17-22 are allowed.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art of reference does not teach determining whether an original curve of the first original drawing object at an original anchor point in the envelope has C1 continuity at the original anchor point and, if it does not, move the anchor point solely according to the coordinate remapping, and if it does, move the anchor point

Application/Control Number: 09/898,676

Art Unit: 2671

to preserve the C1 continuity in a resulting curve in the resulting drawing object at a resulting anchor point corresponding to the original anchor point.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues on page 8 that Maya does not teach that some of the interior points are non-lattice points. As seen above, this idea is not found in the specification.

Applicant argues on page 8 that Maya does not teach introducing additional control points in response to the user's precision input. Claim 4 does not say that the computer introduces additional control points in response to the user's input, therefore the user could add more points as MAYA does.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,676

Art Unit: 2671

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Wallace whose telephone number is 703-605-5163. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman, can be reached on 703-305-9798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MABIE ZIMMERMAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY CENTER 2600

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000